	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability		
	10/072,069 Examiner	MCDANIEL, DAVID W. Art Unit
•		
	Ashok B. Patel	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communica IGHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included tion will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. X This communication is responsive to 11/28/2005.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-27.		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	• •	<del></del>
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	cuments have been received in t	his national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		ply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review(P	TO-948) attached
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in th	e Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	L must be submitted. Note the GICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 03/09/2006	Paper No./Mail 98), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Ame	ndment/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's State	ement of Reasons for Allowance
	9.  Other	7 11
	$\sim$	many / mm
	ZADA	II MALINIC
	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/072,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-27 are allowed.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Kurt M. Pankratz on 04/13/2006.

3. The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

a. Claim 20 (currently amended) Logic for securing packet-based communications, the logic encoded in a medium and operable when executed to:

receive at a first translation module a stream comprising a plurality of packets regarding a communication from a first user interface device intended for a second user interface device, each packet having an original destination address and an original source address; and

for each of the packets, perform an address modification process including changing the original destination address to a selected one of a plurality of modified destination addresses assigned to a second translation module remote from the first translation module, wherein each of the selected modified destination addresses is resolvable by the second translation module to the original destination address, wherein

the address modification process is performed independently from both the first user interface device and the second user interface device.

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

**4.** The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant's arguments presented in Appeal Brief filed on 01/30/2006 are persuasive for the following reasons:

The prior art of the record, Sheymov does not disclose "wherein the address modification process is performed independently from both the first user interface device and the second user interface device." For each packet in a received stream of packets, the address modification process includes "changing the original destination address [in each received packet) to a selected one of a plurality of modified destination addresses." (Seymov's Cyber address book 20 fails to change the original destination address to a modified destination address for each of the packets, as required by the claims. Accordingly, the cyber address book 20 fails to perform an address modification process as required by the claims.)

The prior art of the record, Sheymov does not disclose "receiving at a first translation module a stream comprising a plurality of packets, where each packet has an original destination address." (Sheymov's alphabetic destination address is substituted with the current numerical cyber address and the latter is included in packets. Sheymov fails to disclose that the alphabetic destination address is ever part of a packet. Rather, Sheymov's cyber address is the only address used in a packet.)

The prior art of the record, Sheymov does not disclose "the modified destination addresses are resolvable by the second translation module to the original destination address. (Sheymov, pg. 7, 11. 25-28. However, checking for a correct address does not disclose that each of the selected modified destination addresses is resolvable by the second translation module to the original destination address for forwarding the packet to the second user interface device.")

The prior art of the record, Munger does not disclose "negotiating translation" parameters with a remote device for a communication stream between a first user interface device and a second user interface device, the translation parameters comprising an original destination address, a plurality of available destination addresses, and an algorithm;" and "changing the packet to have the original destination address, wherein the address change is performed independently from both the first user interface device and the second user interface device." (Munger teaches that a router uses the same "IP hopping" to transmit a packet to the final destination. (Id. at ¶ 0111). Thus, the destination address would be determined by the hopblock algorithm and would not be the "original destination address" that was a part of the negotiated translation parameters. (see Id. at ¶ 0109.), "In Munger, to establish a secure session with a router, a client computer sends a request that includes the client's current IP address and a known IP address for the router. (Munger, ¶ 0112). The router responds by sending "the transmit and receive hopblocks that the client 801 will use when communicating with the TARP router." (Id. at ! 0112)", and "While Munger may discuss

Application/Control Number: 10/072,069 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

the transmission of addresses, Munger's transmitted addresses cannot be characterized as "negotiating translation parameters . .")

None of the prior arts of record teach or fairly suggest the claimed limitations.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER